



ALL NEWS SOUTH FRONT WATCH SCOTTS SANDBOX SELECT CMMT CAFE NON MSM NEWS
2019-06-17 2019-06-17 2018-11-22 2019-06-05 2019-04-29 2019-06-18

SAKER The Vineyard BookShelf ... "bookshelf_survey" Search tool now available
MESSAGE:

Understanding America's "regime change" strategy in Russia

2338 Views June 19, 2019 5 Comments



by Ollie Richardson for The Saker Blog

In what is a very timely admission taking into account the topic of my [last article](#) – 21st century international relations and decision-making, the head of Russia's Foreign Intelligence Service (Служба внешней разведки Российской Федерации), Sergey Naryshkin, pointed to a low risk method of "hybrid" warfare and named a specific example where it is being implemented. RT [reported](#) the following on June 18th (emphasis my own):

"Western secret services are perfecting clandestine tools which are designed to weaken countries like viruses weaken bodies, the Russian foreign intelligence chief has said. This kind of warfare is currently used in Venezuela.

The criticism came from Sergey Naryshkin, who heads Russia's foreign intelligence agency SVR. He said spies are constantly improving the tool used to dispose of governments that the West does not like.

'We are talking about creating a universal algorithm for conducting clandestine influence operations in a continuous manner and on a global scale,' he said. According to the official, this clandestine work 'never stops and targets not only enemies, but also friends and neutral powers in the times of peace, crisis and war.'

'It can be compared to the action of a virus; it can spend decades destroying a human organism without symptoms, and once diagnosed, often it's too late to treat it.'

The methods used to influence and destabilize other nations include creating network-oriented

SUPPORT THE SAKER



SEARCH ARTICLES

Search... Submit

SAKER ARCHIVES

Saker Archives Select Month

ABOUT THE SAKER



About the Saker

Saker's values

Why do I live in the USA?



structures that can operate on a premise of public activism, art, science, religion or extremism, the Russian official said. After collecting data on the fault lines in a targeted society, those structures are used to attack those weak points in a synchronized assault, overwhelming the nation's capability to respond to crises.

Simultaneously the perpetrators push a narrative through local and global media and social networks that claims that the only way to resolve problems is to replace the government of the victim nation with another one, possibly with a direct foreign support.

'We can observe this scenario being implemented in Venezuela,' Naryshkin said.

The US is currently trying to replace Venezuela's elected President Nicolas Maduro with another person, Juan Guaido, whom Washington recognized as the legitimate head of the South American nation.

Among others, the US backs his bid with economic sanctions against Venezuela and a massive diplomatic and media campaign in support of the pretender. Guaido's attempts to actually seize power in Caracas have been futile, so far.

The Russian intelligence chief was speaking at an international security forum in Ufa, Russia, which is hosted by the Russian National Security Council. The event is meant for officials directly involved in policy making on security issues. Almost 120 nations are participating in this year's gathering."

I will start by saying that Naryshkin could reveal a lot more if he wanted to, but for obvious reasons is limited to presenting an abstract thesis – which RT "coincidentally" relayed – as a sort of signal to Western intelligence agencies that Russia's room to manoeuvre in the information space isn't limited to just publishing "news".

On the surface it might seem like he is just describing a banal coup d'état, where one state interferes in the internal affairs of another state for the purpose of overthrowing the government and bringing to power a political circle that is friendlier. If one prefers simplistic and digestible takeaways, then one can stop reading here – nothing new under the sun!

However, what the head of Russia's Foreign Intelligence Service is alluding to is a far more complex and dense matter. As history has shown, the traditional coup d'état, akin to what has been seen in the MENA and South America for decades now, is not the same as the coup d'état that was rolled out in, for example, Ukraine in 2014. Why?

The precursor to the "colour revolution"

The main reason is that the West has been working on occupying MENA's lands and raw materials for decades. If the countries of MENA can be said to be tribalistic in terms of structure and aims (more about daily survival than paying bills at the end of the month), then post-WW2 Europe is at first glance much more "developed" and "civilised". I put these words in quotation marks because they are the generic phrases that organisations like the UN use when describing how MENA should aspire to become "more democratic" and "progressive" in order to "combat poverty" and become "prosperous". In other words, MENA in general is not as technologically advanced as modern nation states with liberal "democracies". This is not an insult to MENA; it is simply an observable fact based on the consequences of colonisation. Thus, the scheme for conquering MENA territory is more straightforward than it would be for conquering, for example, Eastern Europe. There is a leader, there is a small circle of wealthy elites, there is an army (armed loyalists), and there are farmers/manual labour workers. Anglo-Saxon colonisers managed to conquer the lands long before the victim nation is able to climb the ladder of scientific research and thus obtain more and more effective ways of defending themselves.

In the example of the Native Indians, the British already had basic guns, thus the former's bows and arrows were inferior. In the case of Africa, notorious colonisers (which includes the British) arrived with the same guns and were faced with only spears and other relatively primitive weapons. Hence why almost the entire African continent was subjugated so easily. The difference between just general colonisation and a coup d'état can be seen most visibly after the CIA is formed: overthrowing a "dictator" becomes as simple as literally buying off the army (like how the UK pioneered the use of pirates), which allows the capitalist West to take care of business and use its media resources to report another "peaceful" and "successful" "democratisation" project. As soon as a leader manages to come to power and aims to challenge this subjugation (Gaddafi being the most recent MENA example, but there is also Patrice Lumumba and Thomas Sankara), they experience the same problem – they are simply overpowered by the more technologically advanced coloniser.

When it comes to coup d'états in the post-Soviet space, the game is different. For over 60 years the USSR had succeeded to repel the influence of the "free" (capitalist) Anglo-Saxons – thanks to a focus on scientific research and thus nuclear technologies – and create a tightly knit Union based on common history and culture. In the West the governments told their citizens that "on that

ABOUT THIS BLOG

Terminology

Moderation Policy (UPDATED May 30th, 2018)


Submission Guidelines

Interview Requests


COMMUNITY COOPERATIVE



ORTHODOX HISTORY



2019 ORTHODOX CALENDAR



[Free Download \(3.41MB PDF\)](#)

BOOKSHELF




side of the curtain they are 'totalitarian', whilst in reality America & Co struggled to influence Soviet society and didn't want their own citizens to see that in the Soviet system of governance everybody had something, as opposed to some people having everything (capitalism). In other words, the USSR was able to defend itself against the traditional coup d'état method.

Due to the fact that the USSR was a developed territory and had much more complex political structures than those of the average African country, it wasn't as simple as just sending Thomas Lawrence or Sidney Reilly and duping local kingpins into signing agreements that essentially renounce raw material ownership rights. And it is also important to bear in mind that the Soviet intelligence agencies were doing battle with the CIA long before 1991. The changing of times simply obliged the West to update the coup d'état playbook before the target country progressed along the line of scientific development and establishes a defence mechanism that is technologically 20 years ahead of the US' subversive tools.

Not being physically able to intimidate the USSR enough into submitting to its will since the latter had nuclear weapons, Uncle Sam realised that it was much more wiser and safer to blow it up from the inside. In this article I don't want to digress too much from the central topic, thus I will not present a mass of details of how America managed to penetrate the USSR and inject its liberal ideas throughout society, but a good brief example I can give is the shipping of American clothes/fashion to Soviet ports, such as Odessa. Today this might be called "soft power", but at the time in question such things served to convince people that individualism could give a more fruitful life than collectivism.

The 2014 coup d'état in Ukraine utilised an upgraded blueprint that was based on the one used to dismantle the Soviet Union (and spark the 1993 constitutional crisis). When the USSR collapsed in 1991, Ukraine found itself in the position of being the wealthiest inheritor of the Soviet legacy: its infrastructure, medicine, education, military, etc was the best in the region. Things started to go pear-shaped around 2004, when America's interference started to reach new heights at the time of the "multi-vectoral" Kuchma, but the Ukraine of 2014 under Yanukovich was relatively-speaking above the water and swimming comfortably. In an attempt to oust Putin before Russia comes even closer to China, strengthens, and forms the backbone of the emerging Eurasian bloc, America planned to disrupt the equilibrium in Ukraine and violently tear it away from the Russian nation. But the problem for America was "how to make this process look organic? After all, to simply invade Ukraine with the US Army would result in the liquidation of the United States of America itself."

I will not use precious article space recounting what happened in 2013/2014 in Ukraine, since I have created an [archive dedicated](#) to it, but I think the video below – John Tefft in 2013 preparing the terrain in Donetsk for what was about to happen – encapsulates the essence of it very well: US NGOs brainwashed society into flirting with liberalism and its noxious "democracy", similar to that virus Sergey Naryshkin spoke about; local Galician militant formations are formed (main example: "Right Sector") and capture administration buildings in Western Ukraine, before eventually being transported to Kiev for the February "revolution".




"Colour revolution 2.0"


What I really want to focus on is the coup d'état model that is being deployed by America & Co in 2019. So far we can say that there are 3 versions of the coup d'état technology (I am being deliberately simplistic, and I use provisional names and descriptions, since I am still researching this topic):

1. Traditional coup d'état – a simple smash and grab, effective against the so-called "third world" (examples: Laos, Guatemala, Zaire);

SAKER'S BOOKS



The Essential Saker II
ORDER NOW



The Essential Saker
FREE DOWNLOAD


SCOTT'S BOOKS



Hell & Israel: Après Lavrov le déluge

A humorous account of the Russian Geographic Society (RGSC) intelligence unit's adventures during the Syrian war.

ORDER NOW



POKÉMON IN UKRAINE: Tactical War Game Introduction Manual

FREE DOWNLOAD

FEAST OF SAINTS PETER AND PAUL
JULY 12TH



2. "Colour revolution" – temporarily hijacking "civil society", effective against more technologically sophisticated states but not superpowers (examples: Egypt, Syria, "independent" Ukraine);
3. Algorithmic probing (can be thought of as "colour revolution 2.0") – seizing control over the **nation** from the ground-up, effective against allies of nuclear superpowers post-2015, when the Minsk Agreements were signed and Russian jets touched down at Hmeymim airbase in Syria (examples: Venezuela, Hong Kong, Russia, Serbia).

Before starting to elaborate on version No. 3, which concerns the post-Syrian-war (I stress, Russia ended the war in 2015 – everything that happened afterwards is just behind the curtain negotiations concerning the next 50+ years of global order) world, it is necessary to present some of the reasons why version No. 2 no longer works:

- Social media hashtag campaigns like those seen during the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood "revolution" no longer have the same effect due to the exponentially increasing mobilisation of anti-coup ("pro-Russia"/"pro-Assad"/"pro-Maduro"/"pro-Nasrallah") social media users;
- It became too difficult to keep the aesthetics of the operation consistently clean – the "White Helmets" may do something that discredits their alleged authenticity, the speaker of the Rada may state that "Hitler was a great leader", a senior Qatari figure may admit live on TV that Qatar funded militant groups in order to remove Assad, Bana might botch a tweet, a video may emerge showing a "FSA" leader reading a script in front of an American producer, etc;
- The popularity of mainstream media is becoming less and less (not to mention the effect of Trump's "fake news" PR campaign), and the popularity of both non-Western state media (RT, Sputnik, Press TV, Telesur, etc) and independent (or apparently independent) media is exponentially growing;
- Alternative social media websites/apps have since become popular amongst English speakers (VKontakte, Telegram, Instagram, Gab, Snapchat, etc);
- Eurasia was able to study the past behaviour of the both West's traditional resources and social media users, allowing to refine its existing resources and to even create new, specialised ones;
- The existence of independent and anti-coup journalists who are prepared to travel between different theatres (for example, Syria and Venezuela) and expose the pattern of the West's "regime change" methods.
- The weakening of the effect of smearing expressions like "anti-Semitism" due to the accumulation effect of reports about Israeli crimes in Gaza and the West Bank;
- The general strengthening of Eurasia and the decline of the liberal West (and the opportunities it has to violate international law as a result), thus the citizens of the former don't have a reason to believe that the latter is the paradise it pretends it is;
- etc.

In other words, the geopolitical reality we have today is not at all the same as the one that we saw before Russia's involvement in Syria – the highest stakes chessboard in the grand game. Lessons were learnt from the past and enough time has passed for changes to be calculated and implemented. Today, superpowers are obliged to invest exponentially more resources in technologies (hence why Russia wants to invest heavily in the AI sector), since understanding the enemy's technologies is the difference between them successfully or unsuccessfully penetrating society. And it's not a coincidence that Naryshkin starts to use terms like "virus". But what does he really mean? What are the design differences between a regular "colour revolution" and what we are seeing today in, for example, Venezuela?


Firstly, a "colour revolution" is designed to hijack "civil society" over a period of several months (less than 6 months), obtain the support of the elites, and aims to put the target leader in front of two bad choices – a trap: to quell protests means to be depicted by the West's NGO's as a "dictator", and thus the West doesn't risk receiving a information blow to its rear (if Western society doesn't agree with something the government is doing, an adversary can exploit it and disrupt the socio-economic situation of a western country or of many western countries); to not quell the protests means to simply hand over power. This explains what happened to Viktor Yanukovich – he did not give the order to Berkut to disperse Maidan for fear of being permanently stained in the Western media, so Joe Biden and his band of merry putschists, after a bit of sniper theatrics to keep the protests alive, took the Rada. Lose-lose. In this scenario Russia could do nothing since a) Ukrainians and their elites are ultimately to blame for flirting with the West, and b) Yanukovich chose the passive option, and thus the only thing Moscow could do was to quickly forecast the consequences and move several step ahead of the US (hence the supercomputers that know about the Yugoslavia war). The result? The Minsk Agreements and the driving of the US' "anti-Russia" project into a dead end.

Secondly, a "colour revolution" hijacks momentary social discontent in relation to a particular issue, inflates it, and then unleashes it in a very focused manner. The discontent needs to be fed financially and thus can be left to extinguish if plans change. It should be noted here that the



Click on the icon for an explanation of the feast of the **Feast of Saints Peter and Paul, July 12th**

POPULAR POSTS LAST 90 DAYS



March 21, 2019
The great fraud of National Zionism



April 16, 2019
The Saker interviews Dmitry Orlov



April 30, 2019
Behold the breathtaking weakness of the Empire!



May 16, 2019
The AngloZionist Empire: a hyperpower with microbrains and no cred left



May 09, 2019
A week in the life of the Empire (UPDATED)

SAKER PODCASTS



target society must already show signs of fragmentation: the work to gradually tear Ukraine away from the bosom of Russia (since the collapse of the USSR) has been ongoing for decades, and over time Kiev succumbed to the West's Banderist poison, thus the 2014 coup simply brought to the surface what had been boiling below since the times of the NKVD's battle with OUN-UPA. Syria is very similar – [Wahhabism had been nibbling away at the Levant for decades](#) . Of course, the ties between Hafez/Bashar al-Assad and the Russia/USSR have existed for over 30 years, but it cannot be said that the two countries have had a relationship based more on pragmatism.

Thirdly, a "colour revolution" involves the creation of an informational hologram that proverbially floats above the target territory, creating a parallel timeline (example: the green/black/white French mandate flag as the actual Syrian flag, and the Higher Negotiations Committee as the actual UN recognised government of Syria – both of which are of course frauds but allow NATO members to bomb Syria without any indignation from the Western general public), but starts to fade as soon as the balance of forces in the war on the ground tips in the target's favour (not even the US media machine can sell the narrative that East Aleppo still hasn't been recaptured by Assad).

Fourthly, a "colour revolution" does not aim to reprogram all the layers of non-elite society in all regions of the country – it only aims to introduce liberal ideas and maintain the support of both those who are already brainwashed and those who succumb to the inculcation. Those who were anti-liberal before will remain anti-liberal post-coup, and thus pose a threat to the puppet regime. Ukraine here is an excellent example of this, where the profoundness of the historical Novorossiya vs Galicia line of divide could be overcome with a few cookies and \$5 billion in NGO money.

Version No. 3 of the coup d'état, which in this article I refer to as "algorithmic probing", is thus designed to: take place over a longer period of time; be fed at the expense of the target government and link together various sources of social discontent; be able to work in conditions where there is no existing ground-based warfare and the likelihood of there being any in the future is low; reprogram the national consciousness and hook all layers of society as geographically far and as wide as possible; make steps towards success even if the elites remain loyal to the target leader.

In situations where the target's security apparatus is the same, if not better, than the belligerent's; where society's average level of trust in the leader is the same, if not higher than the belligerent's; and where the target's defence capabilities match, if not overpower, the belligerent's offensive capabilities; it becomes far too risky for the belligerent to try the "colour revolution" scheme, since failure can compromise any future coup d'état attempts – the coup leader can be detained and may spill the beans concerning who gave him orders and what they were, as well as any valuable intelligence information. The failed coup in Turkey in 2016 was the warning signal to Washington that the habitual "colour revolution" technology will not work in the "multipolar" Eurasian space (hint: Turkey received coup-thwarting intel from allies).

In Venezuela the US is revising its coup d'état technology in real time. There are signs of the "colour revolution" technology: a puppet opposition leader who calls for protests in the street; the expression "the Maduro regime"; imposition of sanctions to give the illusion that the Venezuelan government is starving its own people; even statements like "all options are on the table", which is PR-friendly way of saying there are no options. There are also some faint signs of "algorithmic probing": the transfer of assets in the US belonging to the Venezuelan state to the hands of Juan Guaido; the dragging out of the coup d'état (it's been going on for much longer than 6 months); there is no civil war in the country and it's unlikely there will be any in the near future, despite the presence of US NGOs in the country.

However, the initial "colour revolution" attempt failed because Russia and China – nuclear superpowers – helped Caracas to weather the storm and keep society together. Later the Venezuela's state oil company PDVSA moved its assets to Moscow, Russia [sent polite green men](#) to calm down the US, and [Moscow](#) and [China](#) both sent humanitarian aid (as well as "humanitarian aid") for the sanctioned people of the country, and Juan Guaido was [exposed](#) so much so that even his rich boyfriend Richard Branson was obliged to throw him under the bus:

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

From **Virgin Group** we want to establish a position in relation to

SAKER CHANNELS



SAKER NEWS RSS PAGE

Reader Contributed News Items

RSS FRENCH SAKER

Trump vire Shanahan

La Pravda américaine : Comment Hitler a sauvé les Alliés

À l'adresse des GAFA...

RSS RUSSIAN SAKER

The Saker. Англо-сионистская империя: сверхдержава с микромозгом и без какого-либо доверия

Кубинские штыки в голове Трампа

Взгляд из Америки. Захватывающая дух Слабость Империи!

RSS ITALIAN SAKER

Perché l'imbrigliare Huawei non è una vittoria nella guerra tecnologica

La fase unipolare è finita

Situazione operativa sui fronti siriani del 10-6-2019

RSS LATIN AMERICAN

Vida, pasión y... pronta muerte del Grupo de Lima

En Occidente, todos somos mentirosos

(Untitled)

RSS SERBIAN SAKER

У Канади истина о Сребреници је у опасности

Академик Драгољуб Живојиновић: ДУГА ИСТОРИЈА АНГЛО-САКСОНСКОГ РАЗБИЈАЊА СРБИЈЕ И РУСИЈЕ

Бранислав Матић: ПАТРИЈАРХОВА ВЕЧЕРА

SELECT 9/11 TRUTH LINKS



the funds raised in the Venezuela Aid Live concert, held on February 22, 2019 in the city of Cúcuta, Colombia.

In the last few hours, officials of the administration of the government of Venezuelan President Juan Guaidó have been involved in corruption schemes for which we are not responsible or have any connection.

Since the idea of a mass call to demand freedom and democracy in Venezuela arose, our commitment was for everyone to come together for this cause and contribute a grain of sand to help people that is going through the worst crisis of their history, but what is collected there and the use that those resources were given is not our competence, we were only a platform, so we repudiate anyone who has tried to enrich themselves in the name of hunger and disease of people needed.

Venezuela needs answers for what we put at the disposal of all the international authorities to collaborate in what they need to get to the bottom of this painful episode.

Your great friend, Richard Branson



9/11 Research

9/11 Truth

Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth

Complete 9/11 Timeline

Journal of 9/11 Studies

9/11 consensus

But in this example, like vis-à-vis the Syrian war, Russia doesn't have to do much informational work in order to justify its involvement, simply because ties between Caracas and Moscow already existed before the Bolton-Pompeo tandem came to power, and Russia would be acting within international law anyway. I.e., the door was slammed shut in the face of the CIA, and in order to re-open it America's only option is to either remove Russia's nuclear weapons (and in order to do this the S-400 must be removed from the equation) or to overhaul Venezuelan society at the grass roots level.

The "colour revolution" version of the coup d'état even more so does not work in Putin's Russia. He has succeeded to build a system that leaves no holes for CIA mice (e.g. successors of Gorbachev, Yeltsin, or other notorious liberal saboteurs) to scurry through. Some might call it "authoritarian"; others might call it coup d'état-resistant.

The CIA-orchestrated Boris Nemtsov assassination served as a test balloon, to learn if the Ukrainian scheme can be repeated (death[s] from gunfire -> protests and clashes with law enforcement -> target president flees). The aim was to gather enough people in Moscow for a "march in memory of Nemtsov" and to replicate what happened on Independent Square in Kiev, but this time outside of the Kremlin (how convenient for propagandist photographers – he was killed on the bridge next to the Kremlin!).

Tens of thousands march in Moscow in memory of Boris Nemtsov



Not enough people came, and the security agencies succeeded to block the path to the Kremlin. Russian senator Evgeny Federov did a fantastic job of explaining this in more detail:



Nemtsov Maidan Failed. Evgeny Fedorov



The next experiment was the Navalny card in the run-up to the 2018 presidential election. I recommended to delve into the material found [here](#) for more details about this. In brief, the CIA tried to use the image of children being arrested by OMON during unsanctioned protests in order to shake Russian society. The result? Putin [outlawed](#) it, and of course, Western propagandists were [howling](#) "repression". Putin won the election anyway, in the presence of international observers too.

Fast forward to the most recent (at the time of writing) provocation – the case of Ivan Golunov, who works for the liberal propagandist agency "Meduza" – and we see familiar things: a fifth-columnist is used as a battering ram designed to shake society and remove the evil "dictator". An unsanctioned "Golunov is a hero" march took place on June 12th, and analysis of the [footage](#) shows that it has nothing to do with journalism and everything about putting Putin in a bad light. The crowd even chants "Russia without Putin", and one hired clown in particular gave the message a visual aspect.



Evgeny Fedorov [noted](#) that the Golunov club refused the government's offer to hold a sanctioned rally on June 16th, since the US needs images of "innocent journalists and activists" being detained by "evil" OMON. Fedorov's statement in full:

"There is no doubt that it is an attempt to interfere. Both the US State Department and Brussels made official statements on this issue. They have included their forces, and we know them well, many of the participants in the illegal demonstration are well known to us. From the photos in the police vans, you may remember that these forces repeatedly came out before. Personally, I saw them on Pushkin Square, when Navalny took them there.

These are obvious foreign forces, the fifth column on the territory of Russia, they became active on June 12th. For them, they just need a reason, but the reason has already disappeared, Golunov was released, but they don't care. The team arrived, the money was received, and they need to put it to use. The actions of the protesters are connected to the general system of shaking the situation that is practiced in the West, primarily in the US. It is enough to see how events were prepared in Ukraine, in Georgia, in Moldova, how they were prepared in hundreds of other countries through foreign intervention using the orange technology method.

Everything happens in the same way everywhere. Firstly, a sacred victim is selected, and then proven groups who don't care about the cause are used. The main thing for them is that the performance is against Russia and in support of foreign handlers. Completely the same scheme



works in Russia concerning [garbage collection](#) and in [Ekaterinburg](#). No matter what the reason, the most important thing is to continue to shake the situation. And I stress that the Americans managed to do this many times. At the second echelon, they usually involve separatists, and this is also being prepared in Russia."



Jeremy Hunt ✓
@Jeremy_Hunt

Follow

Very concerned by arrest of Russian investigative journalist, Ivan Golunov of @meduzaproject. Journalists must be free to hold power to account without fear of retribution. We are following his case closely. #FreeGolunov #DefendMediaFreedom

12:51 PM - 9 Jun 2019

Thus, instead of holding a sanctioned march on June 16th, a "support Golunov" [event](#) took place. The turnout for this rally was pathetic. As Federov says, Putin neutralised the Golunov bomb by releasing the "journalist" and sacrificing some police generals. Of course, the social media attacks followed the same script as with Navalny's unsanctioned protests and arrests (there is no indignation vis-à-vis Kirill Vyshinsky's detention, naturally):

The fifth column media in Russia [in unison started to promote](#) the "I/We are Golunov" NGO campaign. UK newspapers [presented](#) the situation as Putin "backing down" and claimed that the "independent press is harassed, which in reality means that the FSB doesn't let the fifth column breathe. There were also attempts ([example](#)) to stretch the Golunov template over other "unlawful arrests". And the cherry on the cake is that it turns out that the clown Navalny was present at the unsanctioned Golunov march:

Navalny among hundreds arrested at protest over Golunov's 'fra...



There are of course other examples of US-instigated agitation in Russian society – ranging from [churches in Ekaterinburg](#) to [pension reform](#) – but they all show the same traits of a "colour revolution" and encounter the same problem: Putin is one step ahead of them.

Long story short, America's post-Syrian war application of its "colour revolution" technology is inadequate when it comes to toppling either the leaders of nuclear superpowers or the leaders of their ally countries (and it's not just Eurasia that is the target of these attacks – Trump also attacks the EU [[example](#) and [example](#)], the individual states of which qualify, if to use Naryshkin's expression, as "friends and neutral powers in the times of peace, crisis and war"). And taking into account the activity of both Russia and China in Africa today, this inadequacy can mean that the "third world" countries that previously were bulldozed by the most basic method of capturing state power may start to escape from the net of colonisation and enjoy the protection offered by Russia's "algorithmic counter-probing". After all, that's what Venezuela is basically doing, and it's the only reason Maduro, like Assad, is still in power.

Why do I use the word "algorithmic"?

If we recall, in my previous [article](#) I introduced the idea that the foreign policy decision-making of nuclear superpowers is being assisted by supercomputers, simply because the way in which we




communicate and send/receive data is becoming exponentially quicker, and the human brain is not able to process such data at such speed. Because of this rapidity of communication, it has meant that one state can encroach on the sovereignty of another state (both digitally and physically), deal a blow, and withdraw to relative safety before the target has the time to adequately respond. Thus, the deployment of the S-400 allowed Russia to establish certain rules in international relations that a) take pressure off Russia's nuclear weapons – the deterrent of all deterrents, and b) exert pressure on America in such a way that Washington currently – and probably not for the next 25 years at least – has no way of countering it.

So we understand from the description directly above that, like in any system, there can be latency/lag when it comes to responding. I have mentioned in the past how Russia was caught off balance with the first "White Helmets" false flag (Ghouta in 2013, which was designed by buy the jihadists time), since it used a media technology that has not been seen before. The second false flag – Khan Shaykhun – was much less successful since Russia had already deployed its jets, was able to learn from the previous false flag, and thus adjusted its algorithm (see my previous article, especially the section about media disinformation with complex equations) and [deploy a counter media campaign](#) . The third false flag – Douma – was [even more of a failure](#) .

The aim of the adversary is to outmanoeuvre the rival in the global information space via a coordinated media and ground campaign (coined by some as "fourth generation warfare"). The "White Helmets" have to film the false flag, and the agencies have to spread the fake footage in parallel, coordinating it with the general daily topics in such a way that the consumer feels that their regular "trustworthy" news service is the same as it's always been – because of course, the last thing a neoliberal government wants is its subjects starting to entertain the idea that one's government is sponsoring Al Qaeda. In other words, the higher the geopolitical stakes, the more technologically sophisticated the methods used in the information space.

In this affair it's not just about the speed of a "hybrid" attack, but also about its composition. One can have the most rapid "input->process->output" informational algorithm, but it is useless if it cannot provide multiple angles of attack.

Here is a very abstract (rushed) diagram I made just to illustrate this point. The black circle represents a designated point in time, when all media resources will parrot "Assad gassed his own people" in sync. The objective of America is to coordinate as many "chemical attack reports" as possible, thus making it look "credible". The red arrows represent Russia's counter attack, which will prevent the black circle from growing (the West employing more media resources/NGOs to disseminate the disinformation) or moving forward (the West using the same amount of resources, but reporting "updates" later along the timeline). This is how the attempt to execute a fourth false flag was negated – see [here](#) , [here](#) , [here](#) , and [here](#) for examples. As I mentioned in [another article](#) , this same preventative tactic was used in Donbass a lot to stop the US' aggressive exertion of pressure. Of course, the map is not the territory, and the diagram below is not supposed to literally depict how the Russian Ministry of Defence's supercomputer works.




The reader may be thinking "You said that Syria was an example of a 'colour revolution', not of 'algorithmic probing', so why use it as an example?" The answer is: Syria is not a nuclear superpower, and thus "colour revolution" technology (albeit incrementally improved over the many years of the war) worked. In the case of Russia, "colour revolution" technology doesn't work, period. So the US' only option is to try to inject this "virus", as Naryshkin calls it. Thus, America's aim is to encroach on the Russian information space without the Russian authorities having the time to repel attacks. When viewed from a gestalt perspective, America would thus have a



permanent presence in the Russian information space, since by the time Russia has plugged one hole, another blow will have been landed from another angle.


Navalny, Golunov, the Yeltsin Center, RBK, Kommersant, Novaya Gazeta, Meduza, Roizman, Kasparov, Kasyanov, Gorbachev, Solzhenitsyn – America sure has a lot of assets at its disposal, but they all suffer from the same problem: they are designed to make Western people hate the Russian world (I doubt Russians care what rats like Jeremy Hunt thinks), but they do not noticeably shake the internal situation in Russia. And after all, it is the Russian people themselves who determine the legitimacy of the Russian government, not Joe Blogs in Coventry. As a result, America's only hope in relation to paralyzing Putin's legacy is to create a phantom Russian identity that can spark a civil war. This is a topic for another article, but the Russia-friendly reader mustn't immediately start losing sleep, since I am talking about processes that need 10-20 more years before we can start to judge whether or not America's coup d'état technology has adapted to the CIA's needs.

One thing is for sure: as long as the Russian state is viable and self-sufficient, social unrest will remain for Washington only a wet dream, not a reality. And it's not excluded that the socio-economic situation inside America and/or the EU will buckle before any Yankee algorithms start to poison the roots of the Russian state. After all, America has a rear, Russia also has information-disseminating resources, and the S-400 isn't going anywhere. And what sort of technology does China have? Imagine if Russian and Chinese supercomputers are interconnected? Actually don't, because I don't want to give the reader a headache!



**The Essential Saker II:
Civilizational Choices
and Geopolitics / The
Russian challenge to
the hegemony of the
AngloZionist Empire**

[Order Now](#)



**The Essential
Saker: from the
trenches of the
emerging
multipolar world**

[Free Download](#)

TAGGED Ollie Richardson


LEAVE A REPLY

Leave a Reply

[Click here to get more info on formatting \[+\]](#)

Comment





Name:

E-mail:

Post a comment

5 COMMENTS



Snow Leopard on June 19, 2019 · at 1:51 pm EST/EDT

Very nice work Ollie. Thank you. I must confess that in order to hold the totality of what you report in balance within myself, I feel obliged to refrain from thinking of the perpetrators of such evil as nations or specific human organizations, such as America is doing this, or capitalism is doing this. This is undoubtedly a necessary surface reading but for me it does not address the totality of what you are so well describing.

Hence I feel obliged to expand the consideration of what is being encountered into a more traditional spiritual and cosmological dimension by referring to the activities of what religions have often traditionally referred to as the Satan force.

For me this is the only concept that holds a sufficient paradigmatic depth to be able to comprehend the depth and totality of what you describe. I sincerely believe this is the global force that we are encountering here. It seems a matter of bringing the original religious understanding of this energy into modern language.

This is the only way I can hold all of this in my understanding. Traditional political categories work, but not to an inadequate degree I feel. I am reminded of what the Iranian mind calls The Great Satan is established inside American capitalism. They at least I feel have a sufficient theoretical grasp of their antagonist. I have very little sense of the American people in general having any great investment in this. Hence I feel I have room to hold them somewhat aside from judgments regarding active complicity. In general that is.

The good aspect of this perspective of having your tale depicted as the work of the Satan force is this opens the way to seeing that the presence of Satan is a powerful clue to the even greater presence of counterbalancing benign spiritual forces. Evidence for that presence now abounds. I like the idea that some float that Putin and the Chinese leadership currently offer good evidence of the proof that God is also in this game. This global drama is so much more than just a world political struggle. It is clearly a global spiritual struggle of the highest magnitude.

This is the only way I can assimilate this data and make macro sense of all that is implied. Accordingly, your reporting for me has something of the quality of the heroic about it. I hope this complement sits well with you.

Reply



Straight-Bat on June 19, 2019 · at 2:13 pm EST/EDT

Excellent analysis on methods of 'externally controlled political change'.

Colour Revolution version 2 was successfully deployed (probably for the 1st time) in USSR from 1970s end to 1993 by the Zionist Capitalists. While the same version 2 didn't get desired result in China during same time period but shorter duration 1975 to



1990.

Russian and Chinese leadership are simply too wise to allow version 3 or any further revision to be successful in their country or allied country.

But the Hegemonic Devil won't stop – they have targeted Belarus, Kazakhstan, Iran, Hong Kong, South Korea for immediate change (even Venezuela has not been struck off from the list) ! Eurasian Resistance camp needs to step up their coordination.

[Reply](#)



Larchmonter445 on June 19, 2019 · at 3:23 pm EST/EDT

I read an article just today on Russian supercomputers being way behind the US and Chinese machines in speed of function.

An expert comment in the article remarked that the need for speed is dictated by the need for algorithms that require speed of the level of the top machines. First come the algorithms, then build the speed you need.

Russia apparently has not needed dominating speed to serve their algorithms which are defeating the US operations attacking the government of Putin.

Of course, this is directly related to the topic you write about today.

What the Russians do need is AI inventions and for that Big Data and computing speed.

Their data base is one third of the US (using population as the basis for the Big Data) and one tenth of China's.

This might stymie the AI approach of Russia.

Putin has ordered their top math, computer science and technologists to win the race for AI.

I have always thought that the Big Data that one needs to build defenses and offensive weapons must be your enemies' Big Data. And who has had the most massive Big Data breeches over and over? The US. I don't wonder who has engineered those breeches.

While the CIA, Cyber Command and NSA and Mossad and Mi6 busy themselves trying to destabilize Russia and China, the Russians and Chinese have been capturing Big Data by the petabyte from the US networks.

Now that Xi and Putin have agreed on the New Era Coordination of their Strategic Comprehensive Partnership, it is clear that the two nations will be doing more than putting computer power together. They will be coordinating that Big Data and producing algorithms for AI that preserves each nation and their Eurasian Integration projects.

[Reply](#)



teranam13 on June 19, 2019 · at 3:28 pm EST/EDT

One would think that two can play at this game especially since the neocons are busy destroying civil society in Looneylandia all on their own and so there is no need to go there. However, let us hope that the Iranians are more grateful than the Turks for this bit of help from abroad.

<https://www.urdupoint.com/en/world/iranian-intelligence-busts-cia-controlled-cyb-647074.html>

[Reply](#)



Anonymous on June 19, 2019 · at 3:38 pm EST/EDT

In a somewhat related vein, Paul Craig Roberts talks about how multimedia technology has developed to such an extent that programmers can now fabricate what are called Deep Fakes that can "create audio and video of anyone, saying absolutely anything."

This is a very disturbing trend in that the technology to expose these fakes seriously



lags behind the ability to create them.

Needless to say, America will inevitably be deploying these fakes to mold public opinion to support its wars of aggression.

White Helmets' atrocity porn or the BBC's "_Saving Syria's Children_" "documentary" (critiqued in the link below) will be amateurish propaganda in comparison.

Robert Stuart vs the BBC: No integrity left in the Western media establishment.
<https://www.greenvillepost.com/2019/05/28/robert-stuart-vs-the-bbc-no-integrity-left-in-the-western-media-establishment/>

Forget about Fake News. We are now entering the world of Fake Reality itself in which the very idea of truth will be jeopardized.

This is the world of the American Matrix....

The Advent of Truth-Destroying Technology
<https://www.paulcraigroberts.org/2019/06/18/the-advent-of-truth-destroying-technology/>

[Reply](#)

ALL NEWS SOUTH FRONT WATCH SCOTTS SANDBOX SELECT CMMT CAFE NON MSM NEWS

ABOUT THE EDITOR

The European Saker In his own words:



"I am a 'legal alien' currently living in the Imperial Homeland"

CONTACT INFO

Saker Analytics, LLC

1000 N. West Street
Suite 1200 #1588
Wilmington, DE
USA 19801

email thesaker:
vineyardsaker@gmail.com

email webmaster:
saker-webmaster@yandex.com

email moderation:
vineyard.mod_hs@unseen.is

email CommunityCooperative:
TheSakerCommunityCooperative

SITEMAP

- [Homepage](#)
- [Latest articles](#)
- [RSS feed of Articles](#)
- [RSS feed of Comments](#)
- [Thanks](#)
- [Blog's Philosophy](#)
- [Terminology](#)
- [Moderation Policy \(UPDATED May 30th, 2018\)](#)
- [Contact & Donations](#)

SAKER ANDROID APP



An Android App has been developed by one of our supporters. It is available for download and install by clicking on the Google Play Store Badge above.



All the original content published on this blog is licensed by Saker Analytics, LLC under the Creative Commons CC-BY-SA 4.0 International license (creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0). For permission to re-publish or otherwise use non-original or non-licensed content, please consult the respective source of the content.

